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Abstract –Cuprous cyanide, generated in situ from cupric sulfate and sodium cyanide, catalyzes the synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted-1,2,3-triazoles from in situ generated alkyl azides and mono-substituted alkynes in a one pot room temperature process.

INTRODUCTION

The Cu(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition reaction (CuAAC) has become the preferred synthetic route to 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles, some of which have interesting biological properties and/or applications in drug design.1-3 Because of the thermodynamic instability of Cu(I), Cu(II) is usually reduced in situ to Cu(I), by the addition of reducing agents, such as sodium ascorbate,4 glucose in the presence of Fehling’s reagent,5 or NaN3.6 Indeed, although longer reaction times are required when the Cu(II)/ascorbate process is used, we felt these finding had substantial implications and the potential for further development. In this article, we describe an efficient, room temperature synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted-1,2,3-triazoles from alkyl acetylenes and in situ generated alkyl azides, catalyzed by Cu(I)CN generated via the sodium cyanide reduction7 of CuSO4 (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1
Although the generation of CuCN by means of the NaCN reduction of CuSO₄ has been known for some time,⁷ as far as we are aware, Cu(I) generated in this manner has not been used to catalyze the formation of 1,4-disubstituted-1,2,3-triazoles from alkyl azides and mono-substituted acetylenes. We chose to investigate this very reasonable possibility.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Optimization studies were carried out using phenylacetylene and benzyl azide (generated in situ from benzyl bromide and sodium azide) in the presence of varying amounts of CuSO₄ and NaCN, at room temperature, in a 1:1:1.5 water:iPrOH:DMF solvent mixture (Table 1). The data clearly show that the best conditions for the formation of 3a involved the use of 36 mol% CuSO₄ and 69 mol% NaCN (entry 9).

Table 1. Optimization experiments for the one-pot generation of triazole 3a from benzyl halide and phenylacetylene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Time (h.)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CuSO₄ (%mol)</td>
<td>NaCN (%mol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁷All reactions were performed with 2 eq. of NaN₃ at rt. ⁶Products purified by crystallization

With the optimized conditions in hand, the scope of the reaction was explored by reacting various benzyl bromides (1a-c), alkyl bromides (1d and 1f) and allyl bromide (1e) with terminal alkynes (2a-j) in presence of NaN₃. The results are summarized in Table 2. It is obvious that a wide variety of benzyl, and alkyl
bromide possessing different functional groups reacted successfully. The reaction showed considerable
tolerance for substituents in the phenylalkynes with both benzyl bromide (1a) and allyl bromide (1e) (Table
2). Both electron donating and attracting substituents in the phenylalkynes led to the desired products in
high yields with little obvious differences in reaction times. In contrast, both the reaction of benzyl
bromide with propargyl alcohol and ethyl bromoacetate with phenylacetylene gave rise to more modest
yields of the expected products 3f and 3n, respectively.

Table 2. One-Pot synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted-1,2,3-triazoles from alkyl bromide and monoalkyl
acetylenes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Halide</th>
<th>Alkyne</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Time (min.)</th>
<th>Yield (%) [Ref.]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>98[5, 9, 11, 12, 16, 20, 22, 23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>91[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>99[9g, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>3e</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Reference(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1a" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure 2e" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Structure 3e" /></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88[9i, 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1a" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Structure 2f" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Structure 3f" /></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60[5, 9a, 9c, 9i, 9m, 10, 14,15,16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1a" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Structure 2g" /></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Structure 3g" /></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>79[9j, 20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1a" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Structure 2h" /></td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Structure 3h" /></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>92[9a, 9d, 9f, 9h, 17, 20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1a" /></td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Structure 2i" /></td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Structure 3i" /></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure 1a" /></td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Structure 2j" /></td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Structure 3j" /></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>92[9a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><img src="image14.png" alt="Structure 1b" /></td>
<td><img src="image15.png" alt="Structure 2d" /></td>
<td><img src="image16.png" alt="Structure 3k" /></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Br&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Br&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80[9a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Br&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Br&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75[ 9a, 9k, 9l]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>EtO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CBr</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Br&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72[9a, 9d, 9g, 9h, 9k, 12, 14, 19,20,23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;Br</td>
<td>NC&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;Br</td>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Br&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Cl&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Cl&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The cuprous cyanide catalyzed cycloaddition process was also successfully applied to the azido compound 4 with phenylacetylene and three para substituted derivatives thereof (see Scheme 2). Compound 4 was prepared as described in the Experimental Section.

Scheme 2
The structure of most of the 1,2,3-triazoles was supported by the usual spectroscopic data, and that of triazoles 3d, 3e, 3i, 3o and 5c was established unequivocally by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1).8

![Figure 1. X-Ray structures of compounds 3d, 3e, 3i, 3o and 5c](image)

Experiments were conducted to show that cuprous cyanide generated in situ by the reduction of Cu(II) sulfate with NaCN both catalyzes the cycloaddition of azides with acetylenes, and is superior in this regard to commercial CuCN.25 Firstly, as expected, commercial CuCN catalyzes the cycloaddion of benzyl azide (6), both generated in situ (experiment a, Scheme 3) or ex situ (experiment c), to phenylacetylene in yields of 84 % and 73 %, respectively, after 12 h at room temperature. Secondly, CuSO4 fails to effect this cycloaddition with in situ generated benzyl azide under the same conditions (experiment b). Thirdly, in situ generation of CuCN from copper (II) sulfate and sodium cyanide in the presence of benzyl azide and phenylacetylene resulted in the formation of 3a in 95% yield in 30 min (experiment d).

A possible mechanism for the catalytic CuSO4/CuCN reaction is delineated in Figure 2.6,26

CONCLUSIONS

We describe in this letter a facile, room temperature, high yield, one pot preparation of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles from organic azides, generated in situ, and various monosubstituted alkynes. The cuprous cyanide was generated in situ from copper(II) sulfate and sodium cyanide, the reactions time was reduced from 12h to 30 min giving the triazole in 95% yield. Considering the operational ease with which these reactions can be carried out, and the inexpensive chemicals involved, we believe this protocol will be of great benefit to medicinal and synthetic organic chemistry.
(a) \[ \text{Br} + \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2\text{O} : \text{iPrOH : DMF} (1:1:1.5) \\ \text{rt,} 84\% \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{3a} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1a} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{2a} \end{array} \]

(b) \[ \text{Br} + \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2\text{O} : \text{iPrOH : DMF} (1:1:1.5) \\ \text{rt,} 73\% \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{3a} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1a} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{2a} \end{array} \]

(c) \[ \text{N}_3^+ + \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2\text{O} : \text{iPrOH : DMF} (1:1:1.5) \\ \text{rt,} 95\% \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{3a} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{2a} \end{array} \]

(d) \[ \text{N}_3^+ + \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2\text{O} : \text{iPrOH : DMF} (1:1:1.5) \\ \text{rt,} 95\% \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{3a} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{6} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{2a} \end{array} \]

Scheme 3

Figure 2. Postulated Mechanism
**EXPERIMENTAL**

TLC was performed on Merck-DC-F254 plates, detection was made by shining UV light. Flash column chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel (230-240 mesh). Melting points were measured in open capillary tubes on a Büchi Melting Point B-540 apparatus and have not been corrected. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VNMRS 400 (400 and 100 MHz) spectrometers. Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are indicated in ppm downfield from internal TMS used as reference; the coupling constants (\(J\)) are given in Hz. IR spectra were measured on a Perkin Elmer GX FT-IR. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer Series II CHNS/O Analyzer 2400. High resolution mass spectra were obtained with an agilent G1969A spectrometer.

**General procedure for the preparation of 1,2,3-triazoles (3).**

In a 25 mL round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stirring bar was placed 100 mg of (1a-f) in 3.5 mL of mixture of 1 mL of H\(_2\)O, 1 mL of isopropanol and 1.5 mL of DMF as solvent, followed by the addition of 2 eq of Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_3\), 0.36 eq of CuSO\(_4\), 0.69 eq of NaCN, 1.0 equiv. of alkyne (2a-h), and 1 mL of Et\(_3\)N. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for the time show in the Table 2. Followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The collected organic layers were dried with MgSO\(_4\) and the solvent was removed under vacuum to give the corresponding triazole. In all cases the crude product was purified by recrystallization General procedure for the preparation of 1,2,3-triazoles.

Compound 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e, 3f, 3g, 3h, 3i, 3j, 3l, 3m, 3n and 3s are known, and their spectra are consistent with literatures show in the Table 2.

**1,4-Bis((4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl)benzene (3k):** The general procedure was followed using 0.50 mL (0.38 mmol) of \(\alpha,\alpha'\)-dibromo-\(p\)-xylene (1b), 0.155 g (1.51 mmol) of 4-ethynylanisol (2d) and 0.099 g (1.52 mmol) of Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_3\) to give 0.143 g (83% yield) of 3k as white solid; mp 239-241 °C; IR (film) \(\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}\): 3121, 2101, 1614, 1499, 1251, 819; \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\), 400 MHz) \(\delta/\text{ppm}: 8.61\) (s, 2H), 7.79 (d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 4H), 7.41 (s, 4H), 7.03(d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 4H), 5.68 (s, 4H), 4.47 (s, 6H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (DMSO-\(d_6\), 100 MHz) \(\delta/\text{ppm}: 159.3, 146.9, 136.4, 129.3, 128.6, 126.9, 123.6, 114.7, 55.6, 53.6.


**1-Allyl-4-(4-cyanophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole (3o):** The general procedure was followed using 0.071 mL (0.83 mmol) of allyl bromide (1e), 0.105 g (1.51 mmol) of 4-ethynylbenzonitrile (2e) and 0.106 g (1.62 mmol) of Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_3\) to give 0.147 g (85% yield) of 3o as yellow solid; mp 103-105 °C; IR (film) \(\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}\): 3132, 2221, 1612, 1233, 726, 553; \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz) \(\delta/\text{ppm}: 7.92\) (d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 2H) 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.68
(d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.04 (ddt, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 10.0 Hz, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (m, 2H), 5.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 146.2, 134.9, 132.7, 130.9, 126.0, 120.7, 120.5, 118.8, 111.5, 52.9. Anal. Calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{10}$N$_4$: C, 68.56; H, 4.79; N, 26.65. Found: C, 68.48; H, 4.84; N, 26.67%.

1-Allyl-4-(4-aminophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole (3p): The general procedure was followed using 0.071 mL (0.83 mmol) of allyl bromide (1e), 0.097 mL (0.83 mmol) of 4-ethynylaniline (2i) and 0.108 g (1.66 mmol) of NaN$_3$ to give 0.121 g (73% yield) of 3p as brown solid mp 110-112 °C; IR (film) $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$: 3442, 3313, 2232, 1617, 1503, 801; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 7.53 (s, 1H) 7.50 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.92 (ddt, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 10.0 Hz, J = 19.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25-5.17 (m, 2H), 4.86 (dt, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (t, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 148.3, 146.6, 131.4, 126.8, 120.8, 119.9, 118.3, 115.2, 52.6. Anal. Calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{12}$N$_4$: C, 65.98; H, 6.04; N, 27.98. Found: C, 66.00; H, 6.13; N, 27.72%.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (3q): The general procedure was followed using 0.068 mL (0.92 mmol) of bromoethane (1f), 0.125 g (0.92 mmol) of 1-chloro-4-ethynylbenzene (2e) and 0.120 g (1.85 mmol) of NaN$_3$ to give 0.145 g (76% yield) of 3q as yellow solid; mp 101-103 °C; IR (film) $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$: 3116, 1637, 1459, 1094, 929, 525, 506; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 7.68 (m, 3H) 7.31 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H) 1.52 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 146.7, 133.7, 129.1, 128.9, 126.8, 119.0, 45.4, 15.5. Anal. Calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{10}$ClN$_3$: C, 57.84; H, 4.85; N, 20.24. Found: C, 58.16; H, 4.88; N, 20.38%.

1-Allyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole (3r): The general procedure was followed using 0.071 mL (0.83 mmol) of allyl bromide (1e), 0.108 mL (0.83 mmol) of 4-ethynylanisole (2d) and 0.108 g (1.62 mmol) of NaN$_3$ to give 0.159 g (89% yield) of 3r as white solid; mp 89-91 °C; IR (film) $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$: 3099, 2934, 1618, 1503, 1031, 821, 528; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 7.64 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.95 (ddt, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 10.0 Hz, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25-5.19 (m, 2H), 4.89 (dt, J = 1.2 Hz, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 159.5, 147.8, 131.4, 129.6, 123.3, 120.1, 118.7, 141.1, 55.3, 52.7. Anal. Calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{13}$N$_3$O: C, 66.96; H, 6.09; N, 19.52. Found: C, 67.31; H, 6.06; N, 19.14%.

1-Allyl-4-(phenoxymethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole (3t): The general procedure was followed using 0.143 mL (1.65 mmol) of allyl bromide (1e), 0.168 g (1.27 mmol) of (prop-2-yn-yloxy)benzene (2h) and 0.214 g (3.28 mmol) of NaN$_3$ to give 0.28 g (87% yield) of 3t as brown oil; (3t) IR (film) $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$: 2924, 1738, 1600, 1491, 1226, 1010, 757; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.13-7.09 (m, 2H),
6.84-6.80 (m, 3H), 5.81 (ddt, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 10.4 Hz, J = 19.2 Hz, 1H), 5.16-5.06 (m, 2H), 5.00 (s, 2H),
4.75 (dt, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 153.1, 144.7, 131.2, 129.5, 122.9,
121.1, 120.0, 114.7, 61.7, 52.6. Anal. Calcd for C12H13N3O: C, 66.96; H, 6.09. Found: C, 67.01; H, 6.31%.

5-Azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4)
In a 250 mL hydrogenation flask was placed 300 mg of 5-nitro-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole,24 60 mg
of Pd/C and 25 mL of CH2Cl2. The flask was pressurized to 60 psi of H2 for 5 h. The reaction mixture was
filtered over Celite and concentrated in a rotary evaporator to afford the corresponding amine in 85% yield
(227 mg). This product was transfer to a 100 mL of round bottom flask with a magnetic bar and 10 mL of
HCl (10%). The resulting solution was allowed to react for 45 min before the addition of 0.11 g (1.5 equiv)
of NaN3 and the reaction mixture was stired at room temperature fo 2 h. The reaction was quenced with
brine and the product was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 25 mL). The collected organic layers were dried with
Na2SO4 and the solvent was removed under vacuum to give 0.27 g (92%) of 5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) as yellow solid; mp 98-99 °C; IR (CH2Cl2) νmax/cm⁻¹: 2118, 3082, 1631, 1265; 1H NMR(CDCl3, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 8.13 (ddd, J = 7.5 Hz, J = 2.3 Hz, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H),
7.55 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.53 (dd, J = 2.3 Hz, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (m, 1H), 7.17
(d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ/ppm:
163.3, 158.5, 148.0, 143.4, 136.9, 133.3, 131.5, 120.9, 116.6, 115.9, 112.3, 111.4, 110.3, 56.4. Anal. Calcd
for C14H10N4O2: C, 63.15; H, 3.79. Found: C, 63.38; H, 4.06%.

General procedure for the preparation of 1,2,3-triazoles (5a-d).
In a 50 mL round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stirring bar was placed 133 mg (1 eq.) of
5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) in 3.5 mL of mixture of 1 mL of H2O, 1 mL of isopropanol
and 1.5 mL of DMF as solvent, followed by the addition of 1 eq of alkyne (2a,b,d,e), 0.36 eq of CuSO4,
0.69 eq of NaCN, and 1.5 mL of Et3N. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h.
Followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 25 mL). The collected organic layers were dried with MgSO4 and
the solvent was removed under vacuum to give the corresponding triazole. After removal of the solvent, the
 crude residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane) to afford 1,2,3-triazoles 5a-d.

2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)benzoxazole (5a): The general procedure was
followed using 0.333 g (0.5 mmol) of 5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) and 0.055 mL (0.5
mmol) of phenylacetylene (2a) to give 0.123 g (67% yield) of 5a as orange solid; mp 132-133 °C; IR
followed using 0.333 g (0.5 mmol) of 5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) and 0.055 mL (0.5
mmol) of phenylacetylene (2a) to give 0.123 g (67% yield) of 5a as orange solid; mp 132-133 °C; IR
(CH₂Cl₂) ν max/cm⁻¹: 2938, 2838, 1607, 1498, 1478, 1263, 1026, 766, 756, 964; ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 8.15 (s, 1H), 8.09 (m, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.6), 7.48 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 163.6, 158.6, 150.1, 148.4, 143.0, 134.0, 133.5, 131.4, 130.1, 128.9, 128.4, 125.8, 120.8, 118.3, 118.2, 115.3, 112.4, 112.1, 111.4, 56.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₂₂H₁₆N₄O₂ [M+H]⁺: 369.1307, found m/z 369.1348.

5-(4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (5b): The general procedure was followed using 0.333 g (0.5 mmol) of 5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) and 0.068 g (0.5 mmol) of 1-chloro-4-ethynyl benzene (2e) to give 0.170 g (85% yield) of 5b as white solid; mp 213-214 °C; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν max/cm⁻¹: 2926, 2842, 1621, 1604, 1588, 1551, 1483, 1311, 1271, 1040, 795, 753; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 9.35 (s, 1H), 8.32 (dd, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.93 – 7.91 (m, 1H), 7.61 (ddd, J = 8.5 Hz, J = 7.3 Hz, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (td, J = 7.6 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 163.8, 158.6, 150.2, 146.7, 142.5, 134.3, 134.1, 133.1, 131.6, 129.5, 127.4, 121.2, 121.0, 118.4, 115.2, 113.3, 112.4, 112.0, 56.5. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₂₂H₁₅ClN₄O₂ [M+H]⁺: 403.0962, found m/z 403.0958.

2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)benzoxazole (5c): The general procedure was followed using 0.333 g (0.5 mmol) of 5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) and 0.065 mL (0.5 mmol) of 4-ethynylanisol (2d) to give 0.169 g (85% yield) of 5c as red solid; mp 172-173 °C; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν max/cm⁻¹: 2938, 2842, 1617, 1601, 1548, 1495, 1484, 1251, 1178, 1028, 834, 792, 750, 702; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 8.07 (dd, J = 7.9 Hz, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (m, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.84 (m, 1H), 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (ddd, J = 8.3 Hz, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.98 (m, 1H), 6.96 (m, 1H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 163.9, 160.0, 158.9, 150.3, 148.6, 143.2, 134.3, 133.7, 131.7, 127.4, 123.1, 121.1, 118.4, 117.6, 115.6, 114.6, 112.6, 112.4, 111.6, 56.5, 55.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₂₃H₁₈N₄O₃ [M+H]⁺: 403.0962, found m/z 403.0958.

5-(4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (5d): The general procedure was followed using 0.333 g (0.5 mmol) of 5-azido-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzoxazole (4) and 0.057 mL (0.5 mmol) of 1-ethynyl-4-fluorobenzene (2d) to give 0.133 g (67% yield) of 5d as white solid; mp 223-225 °C; IR (CH₂Cl₂) ν max/cm⁻¹: 2929, 2847, 1654, 1601, 1495, 1483, 1265, 1036, 1024, 789, 750,
711; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ/ppm: 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.18, (s, 1H), 8.14 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.94-7.88 (m, 2H), 7.84 (dd, $J = 8.7$ Hz, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, $J = 8.3$ Hz, $J = 7.5$ Hz, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.20 (m, 4H), 4.06 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ/ppm: 164.4, 164.1, 162.0, 159.0, 150.5, 148.0, 143.4, 134.3, 133.9, 131.8, 128.0, 127.9, 126.7, 121.2, 118.7, 118.3, 116.4, 116.2, 115.7, 112.9, 112.5, 111.8. 56.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m/z$ calcld for C$_{22}$H$_{15}$FN$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$: 187.1257, found $m/z$ 387.1253.
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