MEETING REPORTS

Osteoclasts: Meeting Report from the 32nd Annual Meeting of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

October 15-19, 2010 in Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Sakae Tanaka

The University of Tokyo, Faculty of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

At the 2010 ASBMR Annual Meeting, highlights of the osteoclast sessions included several studies investigating the mechanism coupling bone resorption to bone formation, as well as research clarifying understanding of the epigenetic control of osteoclast differentiation. The osteoclast-related abstracts on these and other topics from this year's meeting are summarized below.

IGF-1 Released During Osteoclastic Bone Resorption Induces Osteoblast Differentiation from Bone Marrow Stromal Cells

Homeostasis of skeletal tissues is maintained by a well-balanced regulation of bone formation and bone resorption (1;2). This process is called remodeling, in which osteoblasts are involved in the bone formation process and osteoclasts are essential for bone resorption. During the remodeling process, bone resorption by osteoclasts acts as a trigger to stimulate bone formation by osteoblasts, a step called coupling (3). However, the factor(s) that mediate bone formation signals from osteoclasts to osteoblasts are not wellunderstood. Several factors, such as TGF- β , have been reported as possible candidates (4), but no direct evidence exists thus far.

A possible role for IGF-1 released from bone matrix during osteoclastic bone resorption for osteoblast differentiation was reported (5). IGF-1 is one of the most abundant factors deposited in bone matrix. Conditioned medium (CM) released during osteoclastic bone resorption promoted osteoblast differentiation from bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs). CM contained high levels of IGF-1, and an anti-IGF-1

neutralizing antibody suppressed the osteoblastogenic effect of CM, indicating an important role for IGF-1. Osteoprogenitor-specific **IGF-1-deficient** mice (*IGF-IR*(-/-) mice) exhibited smaller size trabecular bone with low volume. Osteoblast number and area were significantly reduced in the knockout (KO) mice relative to their wild-type (WT) littermates while osteoclast number and area did not change. In vitro analysis demonstrated that the osteogenic potential of BMSCs was reduced in IGF-IR(-/-) mice. In addition, when BMSCs from KO mice were transferred into Rag2(-/-) mice, no IGF-IR(-/-) BMSCs were found in the trabecular bone four weeks after transfer. From these observations, the authors speculated that IGF-1 released during bone resorption stimulates osteoblast differentiation from BMSCs, which were recruited at bone-resorptive sites by TGF β 1.

Identification of "Couplin" as a Possible Coupling Factor

Through comprehensive gene expression analvsis durina osteoclastogenesis. "Couplin" was identified as a candidate for the coupling factor (6). Couplin was produced and secreted bv mature osteoclasts actively engaged in bone resorption. and promoted osteoblast differentiation from BMSCs. The expression of Couplin in bone was decreased with aging and alendronate treatment, and increased by PTH treatment. Conditional deletion of the couplin gene in osteoclasts resulted in low bone mass in mice due to impaired bone formation followed by bone resorption, while transgenic mice overexpressing couplin were protected from age-dependent bone loss. These results suggest that Couplin relays signals from bone-resorbing osteoclasts to bone-forming osteoblasts, and may act as a coupling factor.

Regulation of Osteoclast Recruitment by Osteocyte Apoptosis

Osteocyte apoptosis in areas of microdamage was shown to precede increased local osteoclast formation and activity, initiating targeted bone remodeling in vivo. Several studies investigated the role of osteocyte apoptosis on osteoclastogenesis (7;8). Osteoclast precursors are transported from the bone marrow to the remodeling site via the However, the circulation. specific mechanisms that regulate the recruitment of osteoclast precursors to the adjacent bone lining surface are not clearly elucidated. CM from osteocyte cultures undergoing apoptosis by TNF- α treatment promoted endothelial cell proliferation, migration and complex tubule network formation with longer and more branches. This angiogenic effect may be caused by VEGF since apoptotic osteocyte CM contained more VEGF than non-apoptotic osteocyte CM and blocking VEGF with a neutralizing antibody formation abolished tubule effects. Interestingly, endothelial cells treated with apoptotic osteocyte CM exhibited greater adhesion osteoclast precursor than endothelial cells treated with non-apoptotic osteocyte CM. These results suggest that osteocyte apoptosis assists in osteoclast precursor recruitment bv promoting angiogenesis and enhancing osteoclast precursor adhesion to the endothelium (7).

It was also reported that both apoptotic and non-apoptotic MLO-Y4 osteocyte-like cell CM exhibited elevated soluble RANKL and VEGF concentrations. Moreover, healthy osteocytes treated with apoptotic osteocyte CM confined osteoclasts to the apoptosis area, thereby creating a "halo" around the microdamage. These findings provide a mechanism linking osteocyte apoptosis with bone microdamage, and suggest that osteocyte apoptosis both directly and indirectly regulates the initiation of targeted bone remodeling (8).

Epigenetic Regulation of Osteoclast Differentiation

An essential role for epigenetic regulation in was reported osteoclastogenesis (9). Osteoclastogenesis is tightly regulated by various transcriptional factors, which play pivotal roles by reorganizing gene networks (10). Recently, epigenetic regulations, such as histone modifications and chromatin remodeling, have been revealed as essential for the regulation of gene expression by transcription factors (11). In particular, reversibly regulated histone methylation is regarded as the most important storage of epigenetic information that defines cell fate and identity.

An essential role for Jumonji С (JmjC)-domain-containing proteins that are known as belonging to the histone demethylase family was shown. Among JmjC-domain-containing proteins, the expression levels of JmjC-domain-containing protein 5 (Jmjd5) were reduced in the course RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. of Down-regulation of Jmjd5 expression by constitutively expressing shRNAs against Jmjd5 resulted in promotion of osteoclast formation with up-regulated expression of osteoclast-specific genes encoding for DC-STAMP (Dcst) and cathepsin K (CtsK). In addition, osteoclast-specific Jmjd5 KO mice were generated by crossing *Jmjd5-flox* mice with CtsK-Cre mice; reduced bone mass in the femurs of these KO mice (16-week-old) was observed, as compared with WT mice. These results clearly indicate that epiaenetic regulations, at least methylation and/or demethylation of histone tails. have an important role in osteoclastogenesis and bone metabolism.

Autophagy-Related Proteins Mediate Osteoclast Function *In Vitro* and *In Vivo*

Autophagy is the process whereby cells degrade intracellular materials within lysosomes (12), and recent human genome-wide association study (GWAS) data indicated a link between the autophagy pathway and bone density (13). The role of autophagy in the osteoclast was reported at this year's meeting (14). Activation of the autophagy pathway results in lipidation of

the protein LC3, which localizes to autophagosomes promote to their development and fusion with lysosomes. LC3 appears in punctate cytoplasmic structures in bone-residing osteoclasts, but also localizes to the ruffled border. Furthermore, inhibition of the autophagy protein Atg4, which mediates lipidation of LC3, prevents LC3 transport to the ruffled border. In addition, LC3-G120A mutants, which cannot be lipidated, also failed to be targeted to the resorptive organelle. Osteoclast-specific KO of Atg5, an essential autophagy protein, revealed that Atg5 is also necessary for LC3 localization to the ruffled border and for efficient cathepsin K accumulation within the resorptive microenvironment. Interestingly, Atg5 deficiency induced impaired ruffled border formation and a failure to degrade bone slices in vitro, and resulted in diminished bone loss following ovariectomy in vivo. Thus, autophagy proteins target lysosomal vesicles to the ruffled border membrane and facilitate bone resorption both in vitro and in vivo.

TNF-α-Induced Osteoclastogenesis and Inflammatory Bone Resorption Are Negatively Regulated by the Notch-RBP-J Pathway

Recent studies have revealed that Notch receptors (Notch1, 2 and 3) are implicated in the regulation of RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis in vitro although the mechanisms of regulation are not clear (15). The role of the Notch-RBP-J signaling pathway in pathologic inflammatory bone resorption was examined (16). TNF- α did not induce osteoclastogenesis in WT bone marrow macrophages (BMMs). However, deletion of RBP-J, the transcription factor downstream of Notch signaling, in hematopoietic cells resulted in a dramatic induction of osteoclastogenesis in the absence of RANKL. RBP-J deficiency had a much more modest augmenting effect on RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. TNF- α dramatically induced NFATc1, a key transcription factor for osteoclast differentiation, as well as osteoclast-specific genes encoding for TRAP, cathepsin K, and integrin β3 in RBP-J-deficient cells. RBP-J suppressed NFATc1 induction probably by

decreasing c-Fos recruitment to the NFATc1 promoter and increasing expression of IRF8 and Blimp1, transcriptional repressors that suppress osteoclastogenesis. Mice with a myeloid-specific deletion of RBP-J (RBP-J^{flox/flox} LysM-Cre) did not exhibit a phenotype under bone physiological conditions. However, osteoclast formation was dramatically increased when the KO mice were treated with TNF- α , and severe bone destruction and increased serum TRAP levels relative to littermate controls were observed. Deletion of the RBP-J gene induced osteoclastogenesis by TNF- α even in RANK KO mice. These findings identify a key role for the Notch-RBP-J axis in suppressing inflammatory TNF- α -induced osteoclastogenesis. Notch-RBP-J signaling plays a more prominent role in inhibiting osteoclastogenesis in inflammatory settings than under physiological conditions.

Conclusion

Overall, a number of intriguing findings were reported at the 2010 ASBMR Annual molecular Meeting in Toronto. The mechanisms regulating osteoclastogenesis were further investigated, as was epigenetic regulation of osteoclast differentiation that will be one of the major fields of osteoclast research in the near future. In addition, there is increasing interest in the relationship between osteoclasts and other types of cells such as osteoblasts and osteocytes, and in the molecules regulating these interactions.

Conflict of Interest: None reported.

Peer Review: This article has been peer-reviewed.

References

- 1. Epker BN, Frost HM. A histological study of remodeling at the periosteal, haversian canal, cortical endosteal, and trabecular endosteal surfaces in human rib. *Anat Rec.* 1965 Jun;152(2):129-35.
- Parfitt AM. Quantum concept of bone remodeling and turnover: implications for the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 1979 Aug 24;28(1):1-5.
- 3. Parfitt AM. The coupling of bone formation to bone resorption: a critical

IBMS BoneKEy. 2010 December;7(12):465-468 http://www.bonekey-ibms.org/cgi/content/full/ibmske;7/12/465 doi: 10.1138/20100482

analysis of the concept and of its relevance to the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. *Metab Bone Dis Relat Res.* 1982;4(1):1-6.

- Mundy GR. The effects of TGF-beta on bone. *Ciba Found Symp*. 1991; 157:137-43; discussion 143-51.
- Pang L, Wu X, Lei W, Qiu T, Li F, Wan M, Cao X. IGF-I released during osteoclastic bone resorption induces osteoblast differentiation of BMSCs in the coupling process. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2010;25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]
- Takeshita S, Fumoto T, Park K, Aburatani H, Kato S, Ito M, Ikeda K. Bone-resorbing osteoclasts secrete a factor that stimulates osteoblastic differentiation. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2010; 25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]
- Cheung W, Simmons C, You L. Osteocyte apoptosis promotes angiogenesis and osteoclast precursor adhesion in vitro. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2010;25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]
- You L, Guenther A, Al-Dujaili S. Osteocyte apoptosis directly and indirectly regulates osteoclast formation in vitro. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2010; 25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]
- Youn M, Minehata K, Takada I, Imai Y, Nakamura T, Suzuki T, Kato S. Jmjd5, JmjC-domain-containing protein, is an osteoclastogenic repressor. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2010;25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]
- 10. Takayanagi H. Osteoimmunology and the effects of the immune system on bone. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. 2009 Dec;5(12):667-76.
- Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, Kamal M, Huebert DJ, Cuff J, Fry B, Meissner A, Wernig M, Plath K, Jaenisch R, Wagschal A, Feil R, Schreiber SL, Lander ES. A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. *Cell*. 2006 Apr 21;125(2):315-26.

- 12. Mizushima N, Levine B. Autophagy in mammalian development and differentiation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010 Sep;12(9):823-30.
- Zhang L, Guo YF, Liu YZ, Liu YJ, Xiong DH, Liu XG, Wang L, Yang TL, Lei SF, Guo Y, Yan H, Pei YF, Zhang F, Papasian CJ, Recker RR, Deng HW. Pathway-based genome-wide association analysis identified the importance of regulation-of-autophagy pathway for ultradistal radius BMD. J Bone Miner Res. 2010 Jul;25(7):1572-80.
- 14. DeSelm C, Miller B, Zou W, Virgin H, Teitelbaum S. Autophagy related proteins mediate osteoclast function in vitro and in vivo. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2010;25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]
- Bai S, Kopan R, Zou W, Hilton MJ, Ong CT, Long F, Ross FP, Teitelbaum SL. NOTCH1 regulates osteoclastogenesis directly in osteoclast precursors and indirectly via osteoblast lineage cells. J *Biol Chem.* 2008 Mar 7;283(10):6509-18.
- Zhao B, Hu X, Ivashkiv L. TNF-alpha induced osteoclastogenesis and inflammatory bone resorption are negatively regulated by the Notch-RBP-J pathway. J Bone Miner Res. 2010; 25(Suppl 1). [Abstract]