
FACILE SYNTHESIS OF 1-ARYL-2,3-DIHYDRO-1*H*-ISOINDOLES BY CYCLIZATION OF *N*-FORMYLIMINIUM ION *VIA* GEOMETRICALLY DISFAVORED 5-*ENDO*-TRIG PROCESS

Michikazu Kitabatake, Toshiaki Saitoh, Takehiro Sano, and Yoshie Horiguchi*

Showa Pharmaceutical University, 3-3165 Higashi-tamagawagakuen, Machida, Tokyo 194-8543, Japan; e-mail: horiguti@ac.shoyaku.ac.jp

Abstract — Synthesis of 1-aryl-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindoles (isoindolines) (10) was achieved in a highly effective manner *via* acid catalyzed cyclization of *N*-formyliminium ion (8) obtained from 2,3-dimethoxybenzylamine and carbonyl compounds with acetic-formic anhydride under a one pot procedure. This Pictet-Spengler type reaction provides a convenient method for preparing 1-arylisoindolines.

Compounds containing 2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindoles (isoindoline) (1) such as 1-methyl-1-phenyl-(3) and 1-phenyl-derivative (4) are known to be a non-competitive NMDA antagonist^{1, 2} and a phencyclidine agonist³ respectively. However, isoindoline derivatives are very rare in spite of biological interest, when




Scheme 1 2,3-Dihydro-1*H*-isoindoles and related compoundes as a NMDA antagonist and a phencyclidine agonist

compared to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (**2**) derivatives that constitute the fundamental ring system of various medicines. Although there are several syntheses of isoindoline in the literature,⁴⁻¹⁰ only one paper which deals with a general methodology leading to the isoindoline ring system is reported.¹⁰

In this paper we report the synthesis of 1-aryl-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindole (10) utilizing a simple and convenient method *via* a Pictet-Spengler type reaction, that is, the intramolecular cyclization of *N*-formyliminium ion (8). We have recently developed this methodology for the synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoline (2) producing not only 1-substituted but also 1,1-disubstituted derivatives.¹¹

The *N*-formyliminium ions (**8a~e**), substrates of the reaction, were readily prepared by formylation of imines (**7a~e**) with acetic-formic anhydride. The imines (**7a~e**) were obtained by heating a mixture of 3,4-dimethoxybenzylamine (**5**) and arylaldehydes (**6a~d**) or acetophenone (**6e**). The procedures are shown in Table 1.

The intramolecular cyclization of *N*-formyliminium ions (**8**) leading to the isoindoline ring system *via* 5-*endo*-trig process was anticipated to be difficult to achieve when compared with the Pictet-Spengler reaction to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline *via* 6-*endo*-trig; according to the Baldwin rule¹² the former reaction of 5-*endo*-trig process is geometrically disfavored while the latter 6-*endo*-trig one is favored. This disadvantage was overcome by finding reaction conditions using a large excess of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) described in Table 1. However, when the process of removing acetic-formic anhydride from the reaction mixture was omitted or inadequate, the cyclization did not occur in a practical sense. This fact revealed that the acidity of the reaction solution is critically important to produce this intramolecular cyclization. Thus, the 1-aryl-2,3-dihydro-2-formyl-1*H*-isoindoles (**9**) were obtained in fairly good yields, as shown in Table 1.¹³ Hydrolysis of **9** with hydrochloric acid yielded the corresponding 1-aryl-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindoles (**10**) in a quantitative yield.¹³ The direct cyclization of the imine (**7**) to the isoindoline (**10**) did not occur at all even when treated with TFA even under more forced conditions, indicating that the cyclization is the reaction of *N*-formyliminium ions (**8**) activated by *N*-formyl group.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of 1-aryl-2,3-dihydro-1H-isoindoles

Table 1. Synthesis of 1-Aryl-2,3-dihydro-2-formyl-1*H*-isoindoles (9) by

Run	N	Formy	liminium	ion (8) *	Cyclization* ²			
		R^1	R^2	R ³	Reagent	Temp () Time(h)	Yields of 9 (%)* ³
1	8a	Н	Н	Н	TFA	90	3	9a (65)
2	8b	OMe	OMe	Н	TFA	90	3	9b (80)
3	8c	OMe	Н	Н	TFA	90	3	9c (83)
4	8d	н	OMe	н	TFA	90	3	9d (38)
5	8e	Н	н	Me	TFA	90	5	9e (86)

Acid Catalyzed Cyclization of *N*-Formyliminium Ions (8).

*1a) 8a~d were prepared by heating the mixture of 5 (1.2 mol eq.) and 6 (1 mol eq.) without solvent at 80 °C for 1 h, followed by heating at 70 °C for 1 h in acetic-formic anhydride (100 mol eq.) which was prepared from acetic anhydride (100 mol eq.) and formic acid (100 mol eq.)


*1b) **8e** was prepared as follows: the mixture of **5** (1.2 mol eq.) and **6e** (1 mol eq.) was heated in Ti(*i*-OPr)₄ (1.5 mol eq.) at 80 °C for 1 h, and the resulting imine **7e** was formylated by acetic-formic anhydride (100 mol eq.) at 70 °C for 1 h without removing the Ti catalyst.

*2) The reaction was carried out in large excess of TFA (100 mol eq.) after eliminating excess acetic-formic anhydride by heating *in vacuo*.

*3) The yields of 9 were calculated based on the quantity of the carbonyl compounds (6).

occurs through the geometrically disfavored 5-*endo* trigonal process. It is especially noteworthy that the *N*-formyliminium ion (**8e**), in spite of having the congested tetra-substituted $C=N^+$ system, readily caused the cyclization to give **9e** in 86% yield.

The occurrence of this cyclization may be explained in terms of mesomeric effect. The C=N⁺ bond is conjugated to the benzene ring. Therefore, the energy of the transition state should be lowered by the contribution of mesomeric enamino-structure **8B** featuring 5-*exo* trigonal cyclization. Thus, the 5-*endo* trig process of the imino-structure **8A** to **9**, although geometrically disfavored, will be facilitated by the contribution of **8B** that is geometrically favored. The relatively low yield of isoindoline **9d** probably is attributable to the instability of the cation of **8B** which is induced by the electron attractive *meta* OMe group (\mathbb{R}^2).



Scheme 3 Mesomeric structure of N-formyliminium ion

Thus, the Pictet-Spengler type reaction of *N*-formyliminium ions obtained from 3,4-dimethoxybenzylamine and carbonyl compounds under a one pot procedure provides a convenient method for preparing 1-arylisoindolines. Investigation concerning the scope and limitation of this methodology is underway.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- J. P. Hodgkiss, H. J. Sherriffs, D. A. Conttrell, K. Shirakawa, J. S. Kelly, A. Kuno, M. Ohkubo, S. P. Bucher, and H. J. Olverman, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 1993, **240**, 219.
- M. Ohkubo, A. Kuno, K. Katsita, Y. Ueda, K. Shirakawa, H. Nakanishi, I. Nakanishi, T. Kinoshita, and H. Takasugi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1996, 44, 95.

- 3. N. M. Gray, B. K. Cheng, J. M. Stephen, C. M. Lair, and P. C. Contreras, J. Med. Chem., 1989, 32, 1242.
- 4. J. Bornstein, S. F. Bedell, P. E. Drunmmond, and C. L. Kosloski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 83.
- 5. D. F. Veber and W. Lwowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 4152.
- 6. G. Gast, J. Schmuts, and D. Sorg, Helvetica Chim. Acta, 1977, 60, 1644.
- 7. A. I. Meyers and B. Santiago, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 5877.
- 8. H. Ishibashi, I. Kato, Y. Takeda, M. Kogure, and O. Tamura, Chem. Commun., 2000, 1527.
- 9. D.-R. Hou, M.-S. Wang, M.-W. Chung., Y.-D. Hsieh, and H.-H. G. Tsai, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 9231.
- 10. K. Kobayashi, S. Kondo, K. Hashimoto, S. Fukamachi, and O. Konishi, *Heterocycles*, 2007, 71, 1827.
- Y. Horiguchi, H. Kodama, M. Nakamura, T. Yoshimura, K. Hanezi, H. Hamada, T. Saitoh, and T. Sano, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2002, 50, 253.
- 12. J. E. Baldwin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1976, 18, 738.
- 13. The structures of **9** and **10** were determined by elementary and spectral analyses (Mass, ¹H-and ¹³C-NMR data).