

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 80, No. 2, 2010, pp. 887 - 893. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry  
Received, 22nd August, 2009, Accepted, 22nd October, 2009, Published online, 22nd October, 2009  
DOI: 10.3987/COM-09-S(S)116

## CATALYTIC ASYMMETRIC 1,3-DIPOLAR CYCLOADDITION OF AZOMETHINE IMINES TO ALLYL ALCOHOL UTILIZING TARTARIC ACID ESTER AS A CHIRAL AUXILIARY

Katsuyoshi Tanaka, Tomomitsu Kato, Yutaka Ukaji,\* and Katsuhiko  
Inomata\*

Division of Material Sciences, Graduate School of Natural Science and  
Technology, Kanazawa University, Kakuma, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan;  
E-mail: inomata@cacheibm.s.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

**Abstract** – The catalytic regio- and enantioselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines to allyl alcohol was achieved by utilizing diisopropyl (*R,R*)-tartrate as a chiral auxiliary to afford the corresponding optically active *trans*-pyrazolidines with enantioselectivities up to 93% ee. Addition of MgBr<sub>2</sub> was crucial to realize reproducible high enantioselectivity.

The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition is one of the most important methods for the construction of heterocyclic 5-membered rings in organic chemistry.<sup>1</sup> The current challenge for 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition is to control the absolute configuration because the multiple chiral centers can be formed in a single step.<sup>2</sup> Although a lot of cycloadditions of nitron possessing nitrogen and oxygen atoms have been developed, the cycloaddition of azomethine imine which contains two nitrogen atoms was still limited.<sup>3</sup> We have previously reported asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of nitrile oxides and nitrones utilizing tartaric acid ester as a chiral auxiliary.<sup>4,5</sup> Recently we also developed an asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines to allyl alcohol utilizing a stoichiometric amount of diisopropyl (*R,R*)-tartrate [(*R,R*)-DIPT] as a chiral auxiliary.<sup>6</sup> Toward improvement of this method, we made efforts to establish the catalytic reaction system. Herein, we describe the regio- and enantioselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines using a catalytic amount of (*R,R*)-DIPT as a chiral auxiliary.

First, the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an azomethine imine, 1-benzylidene-3-oxopyrazolidin-1-ium-2-ide (**2a**), was examined using 0.2 equivalent of (*R,R*)-DIPT in MeCN at 80 °C: To a mixed solution of allyl alcohol (**1**) and 0.2 equivalent of (*R,R*)-DIPT in MeCN were added 1.4 equivalents of MeMgBr and

azomethine imine **2a** successively at 0 °C.<sup>6</sup> After heating at 80 °C for 2 d, the corresponding *trans*-pyrazolidine **3a** was obtained with moderate enantioselectivity (Table 1, Entry 1). Production of regioisomer and/or diastereomer was not confirmed. When the azomethine imine **2a** was slowly added into the reaction mixture, no enhancement of the enantioselectivity was observed (Entry 2). The solubility of azomethine imine **2a** is low in less polar solvent. Thus, the azomethine imine **2a** might gradually dissolve in the less polar organic solvent to be supplied into the reaction system with progress of the reaction, that is, 0.2 equivalent of bis(bromomagnesium)salt of (*R,R*)-DIPT could act just like a stoichiometric catalyst toward slightly dissolved **2a**. Actually, when benzene was used as a cosolvent, the reaction mixture was heterogeneous, but enantioselectivity was slightly enhanced (Entry 3). The use of toluene or <sup>c</sup>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>OMe as a cosolvent did not improve the enantioselectivity (Entries 4 and 5). The pyrazolidine **3a** was obtained in 75% ee in MeCN/ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl even the reaction mixture was homogeneous (Entry 6). Though other cosolvents were widely examined, improvement of the enantioselectivity was not observed any more (for example, Entry 7). These results indicated that slow loading of the azomethine imine into the reaction mixture was not so effective as we initially expected.

**Table 1.** The effect of the cosolvent for the catalytic 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of **2a**<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Solvent	Yield/%	ee/% <sup>b</sup>
1	MeCN	60	56
2 <sup>c</sup>	MeCN	58	42
3	MeCN / benzene (1/1)	59	64
4	MeCN / toluene (1/1)	79	42
5	MeCN / <sup>c</sup> C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>9</sub> OMe (1/1)	74	53
6	MeCN / ClCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> Cl (1/1)	53	75
7	MeCN / THF (1/1)	71	39

<sup>a</sup>MeMgBr (1.04 M in THF) was used. <sup>b</sup>Enantiomer ratios were determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H). <sup>c</sup>The azomethine imine **2a** was slowly added into the reaction mixture over a period of 5 h.

Next, the effect of Lewis acid was investigated, since it was expected to work as an activator of the asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition under chiral reaction field derived from magnesium salt of (*R,R*)-DIPT or a promoter of the exchange of the magnesium salt of the produced pyrazolidine for the magnesium salt of allyl alcohol.<sup>7</sup> The reaction was carried out by an alternative procedure: Azomethine

imine **2a** was treated with Lewis acid, followed by subsequent addition of allyl alcohol (**1**), (*R,R*)-DIPT, and Grignard reagent as shown in Table 2. The reproducible higher enantioselectivity was realized when the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition was performed in the presence of an equimolar amount of  $\text{MgBr}_2$ <sup>8</sup> in EtCN (Entry 2). The enantioselectivity was rather fluctuated in the absence of  $\text{MgBr}_2$  even by this addition procedure.<sup>9</sup> Pre-treatment of azomethine imine **2a** with  $\text{MgBr}_2$  made **2a** soluble in the solvent by formation of  $\text{MgBr}_2$  complex to realize the reproducible results. When the amount of  $\text{MgBr}_2$  was reduced to half, enantioselectivity decreased (Entry 3). Furthermore, the use of alkylmagnesium chloride as a Grignard reagent to generate magnesium alkoxides improved the enantioselectivity remarkably (Entry 4). The concentration of the Grignard reagent scarcely influenced the enantioselectivity (Entries 4 and 5). Influence of anion in the magnesium salt was next investigated.  $\text{MgCl}_2$  was found to be also effective, though it did not dissolve completely in the reaction mixture (Entry

**Table 2.** The effect of the magnesium salts for the catalytic 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of **2a**

Entry	$\text{RMgX}'$	$\text{MgX}_2$	Solvent	Yield/%	ee/% <sup>a</sup>
1 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgBr}^c$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	MeCN	65	60
2 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgBr}^c$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	EtCN	72	67
3 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgBr}^c$	$\text{MgBr}_2^d$	EtCN	75	49
4 <sup>b</sup>	$^n\text{BuMgCl}^e$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	EtCN	75	82
5 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgCl}^f$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	EtCN	75	82
6 <sup>g</sup>	$\text{MeMgCl}^f$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	EtCN	69	83
7 <sup>h</sup>	$\text{MeMgCl}^f$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	EtCN	70	87
8 <sup>i</sup>	$\text{MeMgCl}^f$	$\text{MgBr}_2$	EtCN	87	78
9 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgCl}^f$	$\text{MgCl}_2$	EtCN	77	83
10 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgBr}^c$	$\text{MgCl}_2$	EtCN	71	80
11 <sup>b</sup>	$\text{MeMgCl}^f$	$\text{MgI}_2$	EtCN	41	76
12 <sup>b</sup>	$^n\text{BuMgCl}^e$	$\text{Mg}(\text{OTf})_2$	EtCN	56	59
13 <sup>b</sup>	$^n\text{BuMgCl}^e$	$\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$	EtCN	8	17


<sup>a</sup>Enantiomer ratios were determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H).

<sup>b</sup>Reaction was carried out on 0.5 mmol scale of **2a** in 6 ml EtCN. <sup>c</sup> $\text{MeMgBr}$  (1.04 M in THF) was used. <sup>d</sup>0.5 equivalent of  $\text{MgBr}_2$  was used. <sup>e</sup> $^n\text{BuMgCl}$  (1.04 M in THF) was used. <sup>f</sup> $\text{MeMgCl}$  (3.0 M in THF) was used. <sup>g</sup>Reaction was carried out on 0.5 mmol scale of **2a** in 12 ml EtCN. <sup>h</sup>Reaction was carried out on 1.0 mmol scale of **2a** in 6 ml EtCN. <sup>i</sup>Reaction was carried out on 1.5 mmol scale of **2a** in 6 ml EtCN.


9).  $\text{MgCl}_2$  worked well even when  $\text{MeMgBr}$  was used to generate magnesium alkoxides (Entry 10).  $\text{MgI}_2$  and  $\text{Mg}(\text{OTf})_2$  were not so effective compared with  $\text{MgBr}_2$  (Entries 11 and 12).  $\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$  showed poor enantioselectivity (Entry 13). Furthermore, the enantioselectivity was slightly influenced by the concentration of the reactants (Entries 5–8). The cycloaddition under much concentrated conditions afforded **3a** with lower enantioselectivity (Entry 8). Due to simplicity and reproducibility of the procedure, the following reactions were carried out by the use of  $\text{MgBr}_2$  as a Lewis acid.<sup>10</sup>

The catalytic asymmetric cycloaddition of several azomethine imines **2** to allyl alcohol (**1**) was performed in the presence of  $\text{MgBr}_2$  as shown in Table 3.<sup>11</sup> Aryl-substituted azomethine imines **2b** and **2c** realized high enantioselectivities (Entries 2 and 3). The cycloaddition of pentyl- and cyclohexyl-substituted azomethine imines **2d** and **2e** also proceeded in an enantioselective manner (Entries 4 and 5). *t*-Butyl-substituted azomethine imine **2f** resulted in the highest enantioselectivity of 93% ee (Entry 6). The absolute configuration of **3a** was previously determined to be *R,R* by chemical correlation.<sup>6</sup> The absolute configurations of other products were tentatively determined to be *R,R* for **3b**, **3c**, **3e**, and **3f** and *5S,7R* (configurational arrangement of the substituents at 5- and 7-positions is same as other products) for **3d**, respectively.


**Table 3.** The asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines **2**<sup>a</sup>

					
Entry	R	<b>2</b>	t/d	Yield/%	ee/%
1 <sup>b</sup>	Ph	<b>a</b>	2	70	87 <sup>c</sup>
2 <sup>d</sup>	<sup>p</sup> MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>b</b>	2	63	89 <sup>c</sup>
3 <sup>d</sup>	<sup>p</sup> ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>c</b>	2	74	84 <sup>c</sup>
4 <sup>b</sup>	<sup>n</sup> C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	<b>d</b>	2	54 <sup>e</sup>	81 <sup>f</sup>
5 <sup>d</sup>	<sup>c</sup> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	<b>e</b>	4	71	84 <sup>c</sup>
6 <sup>d</sup>	<sup>t</sup> Bu	<b>f</b>	4	65	93 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> $\text{MeMgCl}$  (3.0 M in THF) was used. <sup>b</sup>Reaction was carried out on 1.0 mmol scale of **2** in 6 ml EtCN. <sup>c</sup>Enantiomer ratio was determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H). <sup>d</sup>Reaction was carried out on 0.5 mmol scale of **2** in 6 ml EtCN. <sup>e</sup>Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis due to difficulty separating from a by-product **4** (22% yield) (see ref. 12). <sup>f</sup>Enantiomer ratio was determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA).



Although the precise reaction mechanism is not yet clear, a plausible mechanism of the catalytic cycle is shown in Scheme 1. In this system, the dramatic rate enhancement was not observed by the addition of magnesium salt. The salt might promote the replacement of the produced magnesium-bridging chiral salt (**E**) by the magnesium salt of **1** (**B**)<sup>13</sup> and azomethine imine complex with magnesium salt (**C**) to release a magnesium bridging salt (**F**) from the catalytic cycle smoothly. Furthermore, there might be another possibility that magnesium salt diminishes the direct uncatalyzed racemic reaction between **B** and **C** into **F** through the tight complexation of the azomethine imine with magnesium salt by reducing the dipolar nature of the azomethine imine. However, the present reaction was found not to be the case, because the reaction without the magnesium tartrate proceeded as well.<sup>14</sup>



As described above, an attractive catalytic asymmetric cycloaddition of azomethine imines to allyl alcohol has been developed. Because of easy availability of (*R,R*)- and (*S,S*)-DIPT, this method provides the useful way to prepare both enantiomers of *trans*-pyrazolidines.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


The present work was financially supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformations of Carbon Resources" from The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. A. Padwa, 'Comprehensive Organic Synthesis,' Vol. 4, ed. by B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press, New York, 1991, pp. 1069-1109.
2. K. V. Gothelf, 'Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Synthesis,' ed. by S. Kobayashi and K. A. Jørgensen, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002, Chapter 6; S. Kanemasa, 'Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Synthesis,' ed. by S. Kobayashi and K. A. Jørgensen, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002, Chapter 7; L. M. Stanley and M. P. Sibi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2887.
3. S. Kobayashi, H. Shimizu, Y. Yamashita, H. Ishitani, and J. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 13678; R. Shintani and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 10778; Y. Yamashita and S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 11279; S. Shirakawa, P. J. Lombardi, and J. L. Leighton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 9974; A. Suárez, C. W. Downey, and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11244; W. Chen, X.-H. Yuan, R. Li, W. Du, Y. Wu, L.-S. Ding, and Y.-C. Chen, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 1818; H. Suga, A. Funyu, and A. Kakehi, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 97; W. Chen, W. Du, Y.-Z. Duan, Y. Wu, S.-Y. Yang, and Y.-C. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7667; M. P. Sibi, D. Rane, L. M. Stanley, and T. Soeta, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2971.
4. Y. Ukaji, K. Sada, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 1993, **22**, 1847; M. Shimizu, Y. Ukaji, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, **25**, 455; Y. Yoshida, Y. Ukaji, S. Fujinami, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 1998, **27**, 1023; Y. Ukaji, M. Ima, T. Yamada, and K. Inomata, *Heterocycles*, 2000, **52**, 563; M. Tsuji, Y. Ukaji, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **31**, 1112; Y. Ukaji and K. Inomata, *Synlett*, 2003, 1075.
5. Y. Ukaji, K. Taniguchi, K. Sada, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 1997, **26**, 547; D. Xia, K. Taniguchi, Y. Ukaji, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 2001, **30**, 468; D. Xia, Y. Ukaji, S. Fujinami, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **31**, 302; D. Xia, K. Taniguchi, Y. Hamamoto, K. Sada, S. Fujinami, Y. Ukaji, and K. Inomata, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2006, **79**, 1069.
6. T. Kato, S. Fujinami, Y. Ukaji, and K. Inomata, *Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **37**, 342.
7. It was reported that magnesium salts accelerated 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrile oxides and nitrones to allylic alcohols: S. Kanemasa, T. Tsuruoka, and E. Wada, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 87; S. Kanemasa, M. Nishiuchi, A. Kamimura, and K. Hori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 2324; S. Kanemasa, T. Tsuruoka, and H. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 5019; S. Kanemasa and T. Tsuruoka, *Chem. Lett.*, 1995, **24**, 49; S. Kanemasa, K. Okuda, H. Yamamoto, and S. Kaga, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 4095; S. Kanemasa, *Synlett*, 2002, 1371.
8. Magnesium bromide was generated in situ by the treatment of magnesium metal with 1,2-dibromoethane in THF.
9. The cycloaddition in the absence of MgBr<sub>2</sub> in MeCN once gave **3a** in 52% yield with 63% ee.

However, in the worst case, **3a** was produced in 66% yield with 35% ee.

10. Not only magnesium salts but also other metal salts were examined. However, the chemical yields and/or the enantioselectivity of the product **3a** were not satisfactory as follows. Chemical yield/ee; Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>: 41%/15% ee, CuCl<sub>2</sub>: 9%/80% ee, Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub>: 4%/57% ee, NiCl<sub>2</sub>: 52%/66% ee, Cp<sub>2</sub>TiCl<sub>2</sub>: 17%/93% ee, Cp<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub>: 17%/85% ee.
11. By comparison of the results between from the reaction of 1.0 mmol scale of **2** in 6 ml EtCN and from the reaction of 0.5 mmol scale of **2** in 6 ml EtCN, the better results are listed in Table 3.
12. By-product **4** might be produced by 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of **2d** to an enamine-type tautomer of **2d** generated in situ.
13. The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of **2a** to allyl benzyl ether did not proceed at all under similar reaction conditions. The generation of chloromagnesium salt of allyl alcohol (**1**) (**B**) was crucial for the present reaction.



14. A background reaction in the absence of chloromagnesium salt of (*R,R*)-DIPT gave racemic **3a** in 65% yield under the similar conditions.

