## **Original Article**

## Conditional deletion of the human ortholog gene Dicer1 in Pax2-Cre expression domain impairs orofacial development

Laura C. Barritt, Joseph M. Miller, Laura R. Scheetz, Kelsey Gardner, Marsha L. Pierce<sup>1</sup>, Garrett A. Soukup<sup>1</sup>, Sonia M. Rocha-Sanchez

> Department of Oral Biology, Creighton University School of Dentistry, <sup>1</sup>Biomedical Sciences, Creighton University School of Medicine, Omaha, NE, USA

**BACKGROUND:** Orofacial clefts are common worldwide and result from insufficient growth and/or fusion during the genesis of the derivatives of the first pharyngeal arch and the frontonasal prominence. Recent studies in mice carrying conditional and tissue-specific deletions of the human ortholog *Dicer1*, an RNAse III family member, have highlighted its importance in cell survival, differentiation, proliferation, and morphogenesis. Nevertheless, information regarding *Dicer1* and its dependent microRNAs (miRNAs) in mammalian palatogenesis and orofacial development is limited.

**AIMS:** To describe the craniofacial phenotype, gain insight into potential mechanisms underlying the orofacial defects in the *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1* CKO mouse, and shed light on the role of *Dicer1* in mammalian palatogenesis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** Histological and molecular assays of wild type (WT) and *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1*<sup>10xP/0xP</sup> (*Dicer1* CKO) mice dissected tissues have been performed to characterize and analyze the orofacial dysmorphism in *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1*<sup>10xP/0xP</sup> mouse.

**RESULTS:** *Dicer1* CKO mice exhibit late embryonic lethality and severe craniofacial dysmorphism, including a secondary palatal cleft. Further analysis suggest that *Dicer1* deletion neither impacts primary palatal development nor the initial stages of secondary palatal formation. Instead, *Dicer1* is implicated in growth, differentiation, mineralization, and survival of cells in the lateral palatal shelves. Histological and molecular analysis demonstrates that secondary palatal development becomes morphologically arrested prior to mineralization around E13.5 with a significant increase in the expression levels of apoptotic markers (P < 0.01).

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website:
www.ijhg.com  DOI: 10.4103/0971-6866.107984	www.ijhg.com
	<b>DOI:</b> 10.4103/0971-6866.107984

**CONCLUSIONS:** *Pax2-Cre*-mediated *Dicer1* deletion disrupts lateral palatal outgrowth and bone mineralization during palatal shelf development, therefore providing a mammalian model for investigating the role of miRNA-mediated signaling pathways during palatogenesis.

**Key words:** Cleft palate, cranial neural crest cells, *Dicer1*, microRNAs, palatogenesis

### Introduction

Defects in palatogenesis are of complex etiology and represent some of the most common congenital malformations in humans, with an average prevalence of 1.2:1000 live births worldwide.<sup>[1-5]</sup> The mechanisms involved in this process depend on a tightly regulated network of signaling molecules that control cell proliferation, apoptosis, and skeletal differentiation during development.<sup>[6-15]</sup> Recent studies indicate that microRNAs (miRNAs), a highly conserved class of small noncoding RNAs, regulate gene expression by repressing protein translation or inducing mRNA degradation. Mature miRNAs are single-stranded RNAs (ssRNAs) of approximately 22 nucleotides in length, generated by DICER1, an RNAse III family member.<sup>[16,17]</sup>

*DICER1* deletion disrupts numerous physiological processes that are dependent on miRNA-mediated gene regulation and is associated with early embryonic lethality.<sup>[18-30]</sup> Human studies investigating the role of *DICER1* during palatogenesis are limited. Previously, a genome-wide scan for nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sonia M. Rocha-Sanchez, Creighton University School of Dentistry, Department of Oral Biology, Boyne Bldg., Room 313, Omaha, NE-68178, USA. E-mail: ssanchez@creighton.edu

in multigenerational Indian families suggested evidence of linkage at several chromosomes regions including 14q32, which comprises the genomic location of *DICER1*.<sup>[31]</sup> More recently, Li, *et al*.<sup>[32]</sup> showed that rs7205289 in pre-miR-140, which had been previously implicated in zebrafish palatogenesis,<sup>[33]</sup> may contribute to human nonsyndromic cleft palate susceptibility by influencing the processing of mature miR-140. Although groundbreaking, these studies highlight the need for additional analyses on the expression of *DICER1* and its dependent miRNAs, as well as their regulatory function during mammalian palatogenesis and orofacial development.

To investigate *Dicer1* function during palatal and orofacial morphogenesis, we utilized a *Dicer1* conditional knockout (CKO) mouse model in which the floxed *Dicer1* alleles are deleted through *Cre*-mediated recombination following *Pax2-Cre* expression.<sup>[26,30,34]</sup> *Pax2* is the earliest transcription factor to be expressed in the prospective mid-hindbrain area, around embryonic (E) day 7.5 in mouse<sup>[34-37]</sup> and as such may affect cranial neural crest cell (CNC) migration, proliferation, and the differentiation of CNC-derived tissues, including the formation of skeletal structures associated with the craniofacial region.<sup>[38]</sup>

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Animals

All animal care and use was approved by the Creighton University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1<sup>IoxP/IoxP</sup>* CKO mice were generated as previously described.<sup>[30]</sup> Control animals consisted of *Dicer1<sup>IoxP/IoxP</sup>* mice not carrying the *Pax2-Cre* transgene. To examine *Pax2-Cre* expression domains, *Dicer1<sup>IoxP/IoxP</sup>* was mated with *Pax2-Cre/Rosa26R* females. Timed pregnancies were set up overnight. Noon of the next day was considered embryonic day 0.5, and pregnancies were counted forward from that point. Embryos were harvested by caesarean section at different stages of embryonic development.

#### H and E staining and whole-mount skeletal staining

Hematoxylin-Eosin (H and E) staining, whole-mount skeletal staining, and Von Kossa staining were performed as described elsewhere.<sup>[39,40]</sup>

#### In situ hybridization and quantitative real time-PCR

*Dicer1* ISH was performed as previously described.<sup>[30]</sup> Gene-specific RT-QPCR on total RNA isolated from palatal tissue was performed as described elsewhere.<sup>[41]</sup> Detection of miR-101b, miR-140, and miR-145 (Exiqon, Inc. Woburn, MA, USA) was performed as described by Weston and colleagues.<sup>[42]</sup> Student's *t*-test was performed on normalized miRNA expression values to assess statistical significance ( $P \le 0.01$  was considered significant).

#### **Proliferation assays**

Labeling and detection of mitotically active cells by the thymidine analog 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) (50 mg/kg) in DMSO was performed as previously described.<sup>[41,43]</sup> Negative controls consisted of saline-injected females. Five animals per genotype and time point were analyzed. The number of EdU-positive cells were counted. Student's *t*-test was performed,  $P \le 0.01$  was considered significant.

#### Apoptosis assay

Coronal sections (10  $\mu$ m) from WT and *Dicer1* CKO at different embryonic time points were processed using the ApopTag Plus *In situ* apoptosis fluorescein detection kit (Chemicon International, Inc. Temecula, CA, USA) and counterstained with DAPI. Control tissue sections were treated with DNase I (positive control) or DNase I buffer without the enzyme (negative control), before the ApopTag reaction. Counting of apoptotic nuclei was performed at 20 $\mu$ m intervals. Five cross-sections were analyzed per genotype. Four areas (120  $\mu$ m × 120  $\mu$ m) were selected within cross-sections. The ratio of fluorescein-positive nuclei to the total (DAPI-stained) nuclei was calculated per section. Student's *t*-test was performed, *P* ≤ 0.01 was considered significant.

#### Results

# *Pax2-Cre-mediated Dicer1 deletion induces embryonic lethality and craniofacial abnormalities*

*Dicer1* ablation in the *Pax2-Cre* expression domain results in impaired growth of the mid-hindbrain and late embryonic lethality at E18.5.<sup>[30]</sup> Gross morphological analyses of E17.5 *Dicer1* CKO mutants revealed

micrognathia, midface hypoplasia, exophthalmos due to shallow orbits, absence in eyelid formation, and reduction in cranial vault size [Figures 1a-d]. Slight phenotypic variations also noted in the craniofacial region of mutant embryos include frontal bossing and cerebral haemorrhage [Figure 1b].

# Expression of Pax2-Cre and Dicer1 microRNAs during palatal shelf development

To determine the pattern of *Pax2-Cre* expression in the embryonic orofacial region, *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1<sup>loxP/WT</sup>* mice at E12.5 and E17.5 and *Dicer1* CKO mice at E17.5, all of them carrying a *Rosa26-LacZ* reporter allele (*Rosa26R*), were examined by X-gal staining. At E12.5, X-gal staining, which highlights all progeny of the *Pax2-Cre*-expressing cells, shows positive cells throughout the mid-hindbrain and in the region of the first pharyngeal arch of the *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1<sup>loxP/WT</sup>/Rosa26R*, including the developing palatal shelves [Figures 2a and b]. At E17.5, *Pax2-Cre*-positive cells continued to be expressed in the mid-hindbrain<sup>[30]</sup> and throughout the secondary palate in the *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1<sup>loxP/WT</sup>/Rosa26R* [Figure 2c], while *Dicer* CKO mice exhibited diminished X-gal staining and a complete cleft of the secondary palate [Figure 2d].

To confirm *Pax2-Cre*-mediated *Dicer1* deletion in a spatially restricted pattern, we generated a *Dicer1* riboprobe and examined its expression by ISH in WT and *Dicer1* CKO mice at E12.5 [Figure 3]. Compared to the WT [Figures 3a, c and e], only residual *Dicer1* expression was observed in the mid-hindbrain, brainstem, and palatal shelves [Figures 3b, d, and f], which corresponds to the *Pax2-Cre* expression domain.

### Palatogenesis in the Dicer1 conditional knockout mouse

To assess the stage at which morphological differences first occur during the *Dicer1* CKO palatal development, E11.5, E13.5, and E16.5 WT control and *Dicer1* CKO mutant mouse heads were coronally sectioned and stained with H and E. At E11.5, coronal sections showed comparable orofacial and palatal morphology in both mutant and WT embryos, suggesting that, prior to E11.5, orofacial development is not impacted by *Dicer1* loss [Figures 4a and b]. Although *Pax2-Cre* expression in mice has been shown to start as early as one somite stage<sup>[37]</sup> morphological differences in palatal development did not become conspicuous until around E13.5. At this stage, the palatal shelves of Dicer1 CKO embryos are vertically positioned along the side of the tongue, in a pattern similar to the control embryos. Nevertheless, the palatal shelves in Dicer1 CKO embryos exhibit a slight reduction in size, suggesting developmental delay in bilateral palatal outgrowth of the maxillary process [Figures 4c and d]. At E16.5, control embryos showed completion of palatal development, which is hallmarked by fusion between the palatal shelves, disappearance of the medial epithelial seam, and fusion with the primary palate anteriorly [Figure 4e]. In addition, intramembranous ossification of the palatal bones is visible. In comparison, E16.5 Dicer1 CKO mice showed normal primary palatal development, but exhibited a complete secondary palatal cleft [Figure 2d]. Further histological analysis of the Dicer1 CKO shows that the palatal shelves exhibit arrested growth, remaining vertically oriented in position [Figure 4f], and are morphologically equivalent to an E13.5 WT embryo [Figures 4d and f]. Furthermore, intramembranous ossification is completely absent at the palatal shelf region, although the nasal septal cartilage, that develops from the Pax2-Cre-negative frontonasal region, is present [Figure 4f]. Collectively, the present results show that *Dicer1* deletion by *Pax2-Cre* does not affect primary palatal development, nor does it impact the initial stage of palatal development; however, it disrupts the growth and fusion of the palatal shelves.

# CNC-derived facial and anterior skull bone development in the Dicer1 CKO

To assess cartilage and bone development E17.5 *Dicer1* CKO mice and WT littermates were stained with Alcian Blue and Alizarin Red, respectively. Compared to the WT, *Dicer1* CKO exhibited complete loss or abrogated development of several CNC-derived bones in the viscerocranium, anterior cranial vault, and prechordal skull base [Figures 5a-e]. Specifically in the facial skeleton, the squamosal, jugal (zygoma), and palatine bones of the maxilla were absent, while the mandible, tympanic ring, vomer, and frontal process of the maxilla were reduced in size when compared to WT littermates [Figures 5c and d]. In the anterior part of the skull, the presphenoid, alisphenoid, and orbitospheniod were absent



Figure 1: *Pax2-Cre*-mediated deletion of *Dicer1* results in craniofacial abnormalities and secondary palatal cleft. a, c. Frontal and lateral views of WT mice. b, d. Frontal and lateral views of *Dicer* CKO mouse. Bar = 2mm



Figure 3: *In situ* hybridization with *Dicer1* probe at E12.5. a-b. Head. c-d. Palate. e-f. Brain. HB: hindbrain; MB: midbrain; FB: forebrain; PP: primary palate; PS: palatal shelves; NC: nasal cavity. Bar = 50μm (a–d); 2 mm (e–f). Palatal rim delineated

from the cranial base, while the medial portion of the frontal bones in the calvaria and the basiosphenoid of the cranial base exhibited impaired growth [Figure 6d]. No differences were observed between *Dicer1* CKO and WT mice in mesodermally derived skeletal elements of the posterior skull, including the parietal, intraparietal, petrous temporal, basioccipital, exoccipital, and supraoccipital [Figures 5c-f]. Collectively, the observed pattern of skeletal abnormalities suggest that *Pax2-Cre*-mediated *Dicer1* deletion disrupts intramembranous and endochondral bone ossification of specific CNC-derived skeletal elements in the region of the first pharyngeal arch and anterior skull.



Figure 2: X-gal staining in *Pax2-Cre/DicerloxP/WT/ Rosa26R* (a-c) and *Dicer1* CKO (d) mice. MHB: mid-hindbrain; PS: palatal shelf; NC: nasal cavity; NS: nasal septum; PP: primary palate; SP: secondary palate. Bar =  $50\mu m$  (a, b); 1mm (c, d). Palatal rim delineated by dotted line



Figure 4: Comparative palatal development. a, c, e. WT.
b, d, f. *Dicer1* CKO. NT: neural tube; MP: mandibular process; PS: palatal shelves; OC: oral cavity;
P: secondary palate; NS: nasal septum; T: tongue. Bar = 1mm (a, b); 500μm (c-f). H and E staining

To determine the pattern of mineralization during bone formation, tissue sections from the heads of E17.5 WT and *Dicer1* CKO embryos were analyzed with Von Kossa stain. WT mice demonstrated normal intramembranous and endochondral ossification with mineral deposition in the secondary palate, mandible, and premaxilla [Figures 6a and c]. In contrast, in the region of the presumptive secondary palate, *Dicer1* CKO showed only limited mesenchymal condensation and a complete absence of mineralization in the truncated palatal shelves [Figures 6b and d].

#### Cell proliferation and apoptosis in the Dicer1 CKO mouse

To investigate possible mechanism (s) associated with impaired palatal outgrowth in Dicer1 CKO, pregnant mice were injected with EdU at E11.5, E13.5, and E17.5 and analyzed for EdU incorporation by immunohistochemistry. At embryonic day 11.5, the total number of EdU-positive (EdU+) cells in the region of the developing brain and first pharyngeal arch in Dicer1 CKO mice were markedly decreased when compared to littermate controls [Figures 7a-d]. As expected, in regions outside the Pax2 expression domain, the density of EdU<sup>+</sup> cells was comparable between mutant and WT littermates [Figures 7a, b, detail box]. Double staining of E11.5 Dicer1 CKO and WT sections with EdU and ApopTag was performed [Figures 7c and d]. Contrasting with WT littermates [Figure 7c], apoptotic (ApopTag) cells were detected throughout the orofacial region of Dicer1 CKO (data not shown) and were particularly concentrated in the developing palatal areas [Figure 7d]. At E13.5 (P = 0.0081) and E17.5 (P = 0.0032), the density of proliferating (EdU) cells was significantly lower in Dicer1 CKO [Figures 8a-h]. Moreover, the number of apoptotic cells increased significantly at E13.5 (P = 0.0083) [Figure 8d, detail]. ApopTag-positive cells were not observed in the palatal region of WT littermates at E13.5 and E17.5 nor at E17.5 in Dicer1 CKO (data not shown). Comparative RT Q-PCR analyses of dissected palatal tissue from WT and Dicer1 CKO mice at E11.5, E13.5, and E17.5 revealed a marked increase in the levels of apoptotic markers Caspase 3 (P = 0.0003) and p53 (P = 0.0003) in mutant mice, particularly at E13.5. Moreover, expression levels for senescence marker p21 were also higher in Dicer1 CKO at E11.5 (P=0.0009) and E13.5 (P = 0.0008). At E17.5 WT control and Dicer1 CKO animals showed comparable expression levels with the exception of *p21* (*P*=0.013), [Figure 9].

#### miRNA expression in palatal tissue of Dicer1 CKO

To further assess the impact of *Dicer1* deletion, we examined miRNA expression in dissected palatal tissue of *Dicer1* CKO and WT control mice at E13.5 and E17.5. The choice of miRNAs was based on previous reports implicating their role in cell proliferation, craniofacial development, and predicted target genes.<sup>[44-46]</sup> These miRNAs include miR-101b, miR-140, and miR-145. Among predicted targets, these three miRNAs have been associated with regulation

of *Sox9*, *Col10a1*, *Runx1*, *Zeb2*, *Pdgfc*, *Foxo1*, *Bmp3*, and *Eya3*.<sup>[6,10,33,47,48]</sup> The three miRNAs tested were found to be significantly downregulated at E13.5 (P = 0.0002, 0.0006, and 0.0002, respectively) and E17.5 (P = 0.0001, 0.0004, and 0.0001, respectively) in *Dicer1* CKO [Figure 10].

#### **Discussion**

Palatogenesis and cranioskeletal development depends on proper migration, patterning, proliferation, and differentiation of CNC cells and involve a variety of genes that must be expressed at critical levels in specific spatiotemporal sequences.<sup>[6,8,49,50]</sup> *Dicer1*-dependent miRNAs are important effectors of post-transcriptional gene regulation during embryonic development, and have been implicated in impacting neural crest survival.<sup>[51-53]</sup> Recently, a genome-wide scan in multigenerational Indian families has suggested evidence of linkage for chromosome 14q32, the region encompassing the *DICER1* gene, and nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate.<sup>[31]</sup>

Early in embryogenesis, CNC cells populating the first pharyngeal arch and anterior cranial skeleton originate from an area of mid-hindbrain boundary, corresponding to the *Pax2/Pax2-Cre* expression domains.<sup>[37,38,54]</sup> Here, we extend the results of previous studies.<sup>[30,34,37,55]</sup> showing that *Pax2-Cre* and *Dicer1* expression overlaps in the lateral palatal shelves and demonstrate that *Pax2-Cre*-mediated *Dicer1* ablation leads to a secondary palatal cleft and defects in CNC-derived skeletal elements associated with the first pharyngeal arch and anterior skull. Interestingly, formation of the primary palate, which differentiates from the frontonasal region, is not impacted.

*Pax2* is the earliest transcription factor to be expressed in the prospective mid-hindbrain area, preceding the onset of several signaling molecules, including *Wnt1*.<sup>[37]</sup> Likewise, the *Pax2-Cre* transgene is detected in the mid-hindbrain region at E7.5, with expression in the first pharyngeal arch mesenchyme around E9.5.<sup>[34,37,55]</sup> Mutations in *Pax2* result in loss of the midbrain and cerebellar regions,<sup>[56]</sup> suggesting that *Pax2* is required for maintenance of the signaling molecules associated with mid-hindbrain and CNC



Figure 5: *Dicer1* CKO cranioskeletal staining. NB: nasal bone; PX: premaxilla; MX: maxilla; F: frontal; PA: parietal; Z: zygoma; T: temporal; TR: tympanic ring; M: mandible; IP: intraparietal; EO: exoccipital; V: vomer; P: palatine; PS: presphenoid; BS: basiphenoid; HB: hyoid. Bar = 2 mm



Figure 6: Von Kossa staining showing absence of mineralization in coronal sections of *Dicer1* CKO palatal region at E17.5. a. WT. b. *Dicer1* CKO. Boxed region is magnified in (c, d). T: tongue; PS: lateral palatal shelves; SP: secondary palate. Bar =  $500\mu m$ 



Figure 7: EdU (green) and ApopTag (red) staining in coronal sections of E11.5 *Dicer1* CKO. a, c. WT. b, d. *Dicer1* CKO. High magnification of dotted boxes shown in white inset box. NT: neural tube. Bar =  $500\mu m$  (a, b);  $20\mu m$  (C, D)

cell development<sup>[37]</sup> and, therefore, may be expressed in those migrating CNC cells populating the first pharyngeal arch. Hence, ectopic *Pax2-Cre* expression in the mesenchyme of the first pharyngeal arch and palatal regions of the *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1<sup>loxP/WT</sup>/Rosa26R* reporter mice may be reflective of the endogenous *Pax2* expression in the mid-hindbrain region from which CNC cells first originate. Expression of the endogenous *Pax2* gene appears to be downregulated in normal developing palatal tissue, but not in the *Pax2-Cre* construct, where



Figure 8: EdU (green) and ApopTag (red) staining at later time points. Right. WT. Left. *Dicer1* CKO. Inset (d) highlights apoptosis. Boxes in (a, b), (e, f) shown in (c, d), (g, h), respectively. DAPI (blue). T: tongue; PS: palatal shelves; SP: secondary palate. Bar = 500μm

X-gal staining is still detected in CNC-derived structures of *Pax2-Cre/Dicer1*<sup>IoxP/WT</sup>/*Rosa26R* [Figures 2a-c]. Further studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

Evidence for miRNA-mediated gene regulation during neural crest development has emerged from studies examining the *Wnt1-Cre/Dicer1<sup>IoxP/IoxP</sup>* mice, which exhibit defects in all neural crest-derived tissue.<sup>[51-53]</sup> In contrast, defects in the *Dicer1* CKO model are specifically limited to the secondary palates and the skeletal elements derived from CNC cells of the first pharyngeal arch and





anterior skull, providing a unique model in which to study the impact of *Dicer1*-dependent miRNA depletion during secondary palatogenesis.

During craniofacial morphogenesis, cell cycle progression and exit are tightly coordinated to ensure that cellular proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis occur in the appropriate spatiotemporal sequence.<sup>[2]</sup> *Dicer1* in turn regulates all these processes by mediating the biogenesis of small RNAs, including miRNAs.<sup>[17,57]</sup> While all three miRNAs examined were found to be significantly downregulated, residual expression was still observed and may be reflective of differential cellular *Dicer1* retention in both *Pax2-Cre* and non-*Pax2-Cre* expressing cells and/or delayed depletion of mature *Dicer1* mRNA.<sup>[30]</sup>

To date, a number of *Dicer1* CKO models have been generated that confirm the importance of *Dicer1* in different aspects of cell growth and death.<sup>[30,51-53]</sup> Parallel to these studies, we show here that *Pax2-Cre*-mediated loss of *Dicer1* early in craniofacial development impairs proliferation, differentiation, and survival of CNC-derived craniofacial structures associated with the first pharyngeal arch and anterior cranial base. While proliferating cells were still detectable in many regions of the face and developing brain of *Dicer1* CKO at different time points, they were less abundant compared to the WT animals, particularly in the developing palatal shelves. Moreover, the





number of apoptotic cells and the expression levels for the senescence marker p21 and the apoptotic markers Caspase 3 and p53 in the developing palatal shelves increased significantly as the embryos developed, supporting our earlier observation that Dicer1 ablation may not affect CNC cell migration and initial proliferation. Rather, we hypothesize that Dicer1 deletion in the Pax2-Cre expression domain contributes to premature cell cycle exit and cell death during palatogenesis. This hypothesis is consistent with previous studies showing that that progenitor cells are less dependent on miRNAs than their differentiated progeny.<sup>[58]</sup> Therefore, lack of *Dicer1* and, consequently mature miRNAs in the mid-hindbrain boundary would not impact CNC cell migration to the first pharyngeal arch, but rather impair the growth and differentiation of the resultant CNC-derived mesenchyme and the formation of skeletal elements associated with the craniofacial region.

Alterations in the mandible are known to cause abnormal displacement of the tongue, which mechanically prevents the elevation of the palatal shelves, and leads to palatal clefting.<sup>[59,60]</sup> In the case of mechanical hindrance, mandibular hypoplasia is the notable defect and is not associated with additional craniofacial abnormalities. In contrast, palatal clefting associated with mandibular hypoplasia and abrogated growth of cranioskeletal components, such as those seen in Dicer1 CKO and other mouse models, is often attributed to impaired cell proliferation and/ or cell survival.<sup>[50,61-63]</sup> Therefore, we hypothesize palatal clefting in Dicer1 CKO is resultant of arrested palatal growth caused by decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis, rather than as a consequence of mandibular hypoplasia. This premise is supported by histological, proliferation and apoptosis assays, showing comparable palatal development in Dicer1 CKO and WT mice up to E11.5, followed by a significant increase in apoptosis in Dicer1 CKO palatal shelves at E13.5. Palatal growth arrest is more conspicuous at E17.5 in Dicer1 CKO. At this time point, palatal shelves are vertically oriented, similar in size to stage E13.5 WT, exhibiting limited mesenchymal condensation, and complete absence of mineralization. These data suggest that Pax2-mediated deletion of Dicer1 may prevent differentiation of CNC-derived mesenchymal cells into osteoblasts and account for the abrogated skeletal development observed in the Dicer1 CKO facial skeleton and anterior skull.

As a whole, this study highlights the developmental impact of inhibiting miRNA biogenesis following *Dicer1* deletion in the *Pax2-Cre* expression domain and reinforces their importance for regulating the signaling pathways involved in the differentiation of CNC-derived skeleton of the first pharyngeal arch. Analyses of specific miRNA species in orofacial development, combined with targeted and controlled manipulation of those miRNA, are likely to enhance our ability to develop future therapies aiming to treat orofacial malformations.

#### References

- 1. Ferguson MW. Palate development. Development 1988;103:41-60.
- 2. Chai Y, Maxson RE Jr. Recent advances in craniofacial morphogenesis. Dev Dyn 2006;235:2353-75.
- Hilliard SA, Yu L, Gu S, Zhang Z, Chen YP. Regional regulation of palatal growth and patterning along the anterior-posterior axis in mice. J Anat 2005;207:655-67.
- Minoux M, Rijli FM. Molecular mechanisms of cranial neural crest cell migration and patterning in craniofacial development. Development 2010;137:2605-21.
- Jugessur A, Farlie PG, Kilpatrick N. The genetics of isolated orofacial clefts: From genotypes to subphenotypes. Oral Dis 2009;15:437-53.
- 6. Bush JO, Jiang R. Palatogenesis: Morphogenetic and

molecular mechanisms of secondary palate development. Development 2012;139:231-43.

- 7. Helms J, Cordero D, Tapadia M. New insights into craniofacial morphogenesis. Development 2005;132:851-61.
- Ito Y, Yeo JY, Chytil A, Han J, Bringas P Jr, Nakajima A, et al. Conditional inactivation of Tgfbr2 in cranial neural crest causes cleft palate and calvaria defects. Development 2003;130:5269-80.
- Marcucio RS, Cordero DR, Hu D, Helms JA. Molecular interactions coordinating the development of the forebrain and face. Dev Biol 2005;284:48-61.
- 10. Nie X, Luukko K, Kettunen P. BMP signalling in craniofacial development. Int J Dev Biol 2006;50:511-21.
- 11. Cobourne MT. The complex genetics of cleft lip and palate. Eur J Orthod 2004;26:7-16.
- 12. Gritli-Linde A. Molecular control of secondary palate development. Dev Biol 2007;301:309-26.
- Javed A, Chen H, Ghori FY. Genetic and transcriptional control of bone formation. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2010;22:283-93.
- 14. Lan Y, Jiang R. Sonic hedgehog signaling regulates reciprocal epithelial-mesenchymal interactions controlling palatal outgrowth. Development 2009;136:1387-96.
- 15. Ornitz DM, Marie PJ. FGF signaling pathways in endochondral and intramembranous bone development and human genetic disease. Genes Dev 2002;16:1446-65.
- Agrawal N, Dasaradhi PV, Mohmmed A, Malhotra P, Bhatnagar RK, Mukherjee SK. RNA interference: Biology, mechanism, and applications. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2003;67:657-85.
- 17. Jaskiewicz L, Filipowicz W. Role of Dicer in posttranscriptional RNA silencing. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2008;320:77-97.
- **18.** Ademokun A, Turner M. Regulation of B-cell differentiation by microRNAs and RNA-binding proteins. Biochem Soc Trans 2008;36 Pt 6:1191-3.
- Li JY, Yong TY, Michael MZ, Gleadle JM. Review: The role of microRNAs in kidney disease. Nephrology Carlton 2010;15:599-608.
- 20. Luo X, Tsai LM, Shen N, Yu D. Evidence for microRNA-mediated regulation in rheumatic diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:i30-6.
- 21. Wang S, Olson EN. AngiomiRs--key regulators of angiogenesis. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2009;19:205-11.
- 22. Zhao Y, Srivastava D. A developmental view of microRNA function. Trends Biochem Sci 2007;32:189-97.
- Bernstein E, Kim SY, Carmell MA, Murchison EP, Alcorn H, Li MZ, *et al.* Dicer is essential for mouse development. Nat Genet 2003;35:215-7.
- 24. Chen JF, Murchison EP, Tang R, Callis TE, Tatsuguchi M, Deng Z, *et al.* Targeted deletion of Dicer in the heart leads to dilated cardiomyopathy and heart failure. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:2111-6.
- 25. Gaur T, Hussain S, Mudhasani R, Parulkar I, Colby JL, Frederick D, *et al.* Dicer inactivation in osteoprogenitor cells compromises fetal survival and bone formation, while excision in differentiated osteoblasts increases bone mass in the adult mouse. Dev Biol 2010;340:10-21.
- Harfe BD, McManus MT, Mansfield JH, Hornstein E, Tabin CJ. The RNaseIII enzyme Dicer is required for morphogenesis but not patterning of the vertebrate limb. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:10898-903.

- 27. Harris KS, Zhang Z, McManus MT, Harfe BD, Sun X. Dicer function is essential for lung epithelium morphogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:2208-13.
- 28. Kobayashi T, Lu J, Cobb BS, Rodda SJ, McMahon AP, Schipani E, *et al.* Dicer-dependent pathways regulate chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:1949-54.
- 29. Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Yalcin A, Meyer J, Lendeckel W, Tuschl T. Identification of tissue-specific microRNAs from mouse. Curr Biol 2002;12:735-9.
- 30. Soukup GA, Fritzsch B, Pierce ML, Weston MD, Jahan I, McManus MT, *et al.* Residual microRNA expression dictates the extent of inner ear development in conditional Dicer knockout mice. Dev Biol 2009;328:328-41.
- Radhakrishna U, Ratnamala U, Gaines M, Beiraghi S, Hutchings D, Golla J, *et al.* Genomewide scan for nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate in multigenerational Indian families reveals significant evidence of linkage at 13q33.1-34. Am J Hum Genet 2006;79:580-5.
- Li L, Meng T, Jia Z, Zhu G, Shi B. Single nucleotide polymorphism associated with nonsyndromic cleft palate influences the processing of miR-140. Am J Med Genet 2011;152A: 856-62.
- 33. Eberhart JK, He X, Swartz ME, Yan YL, Song H, Boling TC, *et al.* MicroRNA Mirn140 modulates Pdgf signaling during palatogenesis. Nat Genet 2008;40:290-8.
- Ohyama T, Groves AK. Generation of Pax2-Cre mice by modification of a Pax2 bacterial artificial chromosome. Genesis 2004;38:195-9.
- 35. Favor J, Sandulache R, Neuhauser-Klaus A, Pretsch W, Chatterjee B, Senft E, *et al.* The mouse Pa×2 1Neu mutation is identical to a human PAX2 mutation in a family with renal-coloboma syndrome and results in developmental defects of the brain, ear, eye, and kidney. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;93:13870-5.
- Mansouri A, Hallonet M, Gruss P. Pax genes and their roles in cell differentiation and development. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1996;8:851-7.
- Rowitch DH, McMahon AP. Pax-2 expression in the murine neural plate precedes and encompasses the expression domains of Wnt-1 and En-1. Mech Dev 1995;52:3-8.
- Kulesa PM, Bailey CM, Kasemeier-Kulesa JC, McLennan R. Cranial neural crest migration: new rules for an old road. Dev Biol 2010;344:543-54.
- Kochhar DM. Limb development in mouse embryos. I. Analysis of teratogenic effects of retinoic acid. Teratology 1973;7:289-98.
- 40. Sheehan D, Hrapchak B. Theory and Practice of Histotechnology. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ohio: Battelle Press; 1980.
- Rocha-Sanchez SM, Scheetz LR, Contreras M, Weston MD, Korte M, McGee J, *et al.* Mature mice lacking Rbl2/p130 gene have supernumerary inner ear hair cells and supporting cells. J Neurosci 2011;31:8883-93.
- 42. Weston MD, Pierce ML, Rocha-Sanchez S, Beisel KW, Soukup GA. MicroRNA gene expression in the mouse inner ear. Brain Res 2006;1111:95-104.
- 43. Kaiser CL, Kamien AJ, Shah PA, Chapman BJ, Cotanche DA. 5-Ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine labeling detects proliferating cells in the regenerating avian cochlea. Laryngoscope 2009;119:1770-5.

- 44. He X, Eberhart JK, Postlethwait JH. MicroRNAs and micromanaging the skeleton in disease, development and evolution. J Cell Mol Med 2009;13:606-18.
- 45. Mukhopadhyay P, Brock G, Pihur V, Webb C, Pisano MM, Greene RM. Developmental microRNA expression profiling of murine embryonic orofacial tissue. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2010;88:511-34.
- Yang B, Guo H, Zhang Y, Chen L, Ying D, Dong S. MicroRNA-145 regulates chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by targeting Sox9. PLoS One 2011;6:e21679.
- 47. Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell 2005;120:15-20.
- 48. Ding H, Wu X, Bostrom H, Kim I, Wong N, Tsoi B, *et al.* A specific requirement for PDGF-C in palate formation and PDGFR-alpha signaling. Nat Genet 2004;36:1111-6.
- 49. Meng L, Bian Z, Torensma R, Von den Hoff JW. Biological mechanisms in palatogenesis and cleft palate. J Dent Res 2009;88:22-33.
- 50. Trainor PA. Craniofacial birth defects: The role of neural crest cells in the etiology and pathogenesis of Treacher Collins syndrome and the potential for prevention. Am J Med Genet 2010;152A: 2984-94.
- 51. Huang T, Liu Y, Huang M, Zhao X, Cheng L. Wnt1-cre-mediated conditional loss of Dicer results in malformation of the midbrain and cerebellum and failure of neural crest and dopaminergic differentiation in mice. J Mol Cell Biol 2010;2:152-63.
- 52. Nie X, Wang Q, Jiao K. Dicer activity in neural crest cells is essential for craniofacial organogenesis and pharyngeal arch artery morphogenesis. Mech Dev 2011;128:200-7.
- 53. Zehir A, Hua LL, Maska EL, Morikawa Y, Cserjesi P. Dicer is required for survival of differentiating neural crest cells. Dev Biol 2010;340:459-67.
- 54. Liu A, Joyner AL. Early anterior/posterior patterning of the midbrain and cerebellum. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001;24:869-96.
- 55. Lu S, Lu LY, Liu MF, Yuan QJ, Sham MH, Guan XY, *et al.* Cerebellar defects in Pdss2 conditional knockout mice during embryonic development and in adulthood. Neurobiol Dis 2012;45:219-33.
- 56. Pfeffer PL, Payer B, Reim G, di Magliano MP, Busslinger M. The activation and maintenance of Pa×2 expression at the mid-hindbrain boundary is controlled by separate enhancers. Development 2002;129:307-18.
- 57. Davis-Dusenbery BN, Hata A. Mechanisms of control of microRNA biogenesis. J Biochem 2010;148:381-92.
- 58. De Pietri Tonelli D, Pulvers JN, Haffner C, Murchison EP, Hannon GJ, Huttner WB. miRNAs are essential for survival and differentiation of newborn neurons but not for expansion of neural progenitors during early neurogenesis in the mouse embryonic neocortex. Development 2008;135:3911-21.
- 59. Bjork BC, Turbe-Doan A, Prysak M, Herron BJ, Beier DR. Prdm16 is required for normal palatogenesis in mice. Hum Mol Genet 2010;19:774-89.
- Seegmiller RE, Fraser FC. Mandibular growth retardation as a cause of cleft palate in mice homozygous for the chondrodysplasia gene. J Embryol Exp Morphol 1977;38:227-38.

- 61. Dixon J, Jones NC, Sandell LL, Jayasinghe SM, Crane J, Rey JP, *et al.* Tcof1/Treacle is required for neural crest cell formation and proliferation deficiencies that cause craniofacial abnormalities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:13403-8.
- Satokata I, Maas R. Ms×1 deficient mice exhibit cleft palate and abnormalities of craniofacial and tooth development. Nat Genet 1994;6:348-56.
- 63. Trumpp A, Depew MJ, Rubenstein JL, Bishop JM, Martin GR. Cre-mediated gene inactivation demonstrates that FGF8 is required for cell survival and patterning of the first branchial arch. Genes Dev 1999;13:3136-48.

Cite this article as: Barritt LC, Miller JM, Scheetz LR, Gardner K, Pierce ML, Soukup GA, *et al.* Conditional deletion of the human ortholog gene Dicer1 in Pax2-Cre expression domain impairs orofacial development. Indian J Hum Genet 2012;18:310-9.

**Source of Support:** This work was supported in part by an Oral Biology Faculty Development grant from Health Future Foundation (HFF) (S.M. Rocha-Sanchez), NIH/NIDCD R01DC009025 (G.A. Soukup) and NIH/ NCRR G20RR024001 (Creighton University Animal Research Facility). We thank Ms. Sabrina Siddiqi for valuable technical assistance and Dr. Andy Groves (Baylor College of Medicine) for generously donating the Pax2 and Cre probes. Confocal microscopic system was made available by the Nebraska Center for Cell Biology at Creighton University, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

